For reprint orders, please contact: reprints@future-drugs.com



Diet and prostate cancer risk reduction

Expert Rev. Anticancer Ther. 8(1), 43-50 (2008)

Eric Cheung,ProsPanikar Wadhera,literTanya Dorff andprosJacek Pinski[†]toxi

[†]Author for correspondence Norris Cancer Hospital, Rm 3453, 1441 Eastlake Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90033, USA Tel.: +1 323 865 3900 Fax: +1 323 865 0061 pinski_j@ccnt.usc.edu Prostate cancer is the most commonly diagnosed malignancy in males. The current body of literature supports the role of nutritional products in the reduction of prostate cancer. This review critically addresses the natural products with the greatest potential to reduce the risk of prostate cancer, including lycopene, vitamin E, selenium, vitamin D, soy and green tea. The toxicities of the dietary products are addressed. The direction of future studies lies in clarifying the effects of these products and exploring the biological mechanisms responsible for the prevention of prostate cancer.

KEYWORDS: cancer • diet • green tea • lycopene • prostate • risk • selenium • soy • vitamin D • vitamin E

Prostate cancer is the most prevalent malignancy in men of industrialized countries and the second most common cause of cancerrelated death. In 2007, an estimated 218,890 new cases will be diagnosed in the USA and 27,050 deaths will occur [1]. Prostate cancer prevalence varies widely among different countries and this variation may be related to environmental factors such as diet. A compelling argument for the relationship between diet and prostate cancer risk is the observation that the incidence of prostate cancer substantially increases when migrants move from countries with a low incidence of prostate cancer to North America and other industrialized countries. This review addresses clinical studies that focus on dietary factors that may reduce a male's risk for the development of prostate cancer.

Lycopene

Carotenoids are synthesized in a variety of fruits and vegetables and function as natural pigments and antioxidants. The major carotenoids in the human diet include lycopene, α -carotene, β -carotene, β -cryptoxanthin, lutein and zeaxanthin. Lycopene is a free radical scavenger found mainly in tomatoes.

Studies that are supportive of a relationship between prostate cancer reduction and lycopene or tomato product intake cite a risk reduction of 25–80%. Multiple studies have focused on information obtained from questionnaires. Mills *et al.* followed a cohort of approximately 14,000 men,

180 of whom developed prostate cancer after a follow-up period of 6 years [2]. Increased intake of a variety of fruits and vegetables, including tomatoes, was associated with significantly decreased prostate cancer risk (response rate [RR]: 0.60). Tzonou et al. conducted a case-control study in Greece that involved 320 prostate cancer cases and 246 controls and found that consumption of cooked tomatoes more so than raw tomatoes reduced prostate cancer risk by close to 15% [3]. Norrish et al. performed a population-based casecontrol study of 317 prostate cancer cases and 480 controls and found a weak association between lycopene and tomato-based foods and reduced risk of prostate cancer [4]. Giovannucci et al. performed two reviews of the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study (HPFS), the most recent of which was published in 2002 [5]. Lycopene had a RR for high versus low quintiles of 0.84. Intake of tomato sauce was associated with an even greater reduction in prostate cancer risk (RR: 0.77).

Several studies have attempted to identify an association between serum levels of lycopene and prostate cancer risk. Gann *et al.* conducted a nested case-control study from healthy men in the Physician's Health Study [6]. 578 men developed prostate cancer and were matched to 1294 controls. Lycopene was found at a significantly lower mean level in prostate cancer cases than in matched controls (p = 0.04) and prostate cancer risk likewise declined (odds ratio [OR] for fifth quintile: 0.75; p trend = 0.12). In a study by Lu *et al.*, a significant inverse association with prostate

cancer was observed with plasma lycopene concentrations (OR: 0.17; p for trend = 0.0052) [7]. In another study, the serum levels of individual carotenoids in white and black males at a US center were examined [8]. Lycopene was inversely associated with prostate cancer risk (OR: 0.65; p = 0.09). In a case-control study by Wu *et al.* nested within the prospective HPFS, a statistically significant inverse association between higher plasma lycopene concentrations and lower risk of prostate cancer was discovered in patients older than 65 years (OR: 0.47) and without a family history of prostate cancer (OR: 0.48) [9].

Despite the positive results, several studies failed to show an association, including a case-control study by Key *et al.* of 328 men with prostate cancer and 328 matched controls [10], a detailed analysis of a case-control study of 452 prostate cancer cases and 899 controls performed in Hawaii [11], a population-based control study performed by Hayes *et al.* in the USA involving 932 black and white males with prostate cancer and 1201 controls [12], a multicenter, multiethnic study by Kolonel involving 1619 African–American, white, Japanese and Chinese men and 1618 controls [13], and a study performed by Schuurman *et al.* in the Netherlands involving over 1200 men [14].

Moreover, studies involving measured serum levels of lycopene do not always correlate with a reduced risk of prostate cancer. Hsing *et al.* measured serum levels of lycopene in 25,802 men from Maryland, 103 of whom subsequently developed prostate cancer, and did not find a significant association between lycopene and prostate cancer risk [15]. A similar lack of correlation was reported by Nomura *et al.* who conducted a nested case-control study in 6860 Japanese–American men, 142 of whom developed prostate cancer [16], and Peters *et al.* who reported on 792 prostate cancer cases and 844 matched controls from the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal and Ovarian (PLCO) Cancer Screening trial [17].

Lycopene is a carotenoid readily found in a variety of vegetables, including tomatoes. No toxicities of lycopene intake have been identified. The conflicting data from epidemiologic and prospective trials may reflect the heterogeneity in the manner in which these studies were conducted. The food frequency questionnaires differed in their measurement of lycopene intake. The studies involving serum lycopene levels differed in their techniques of measurement. The conflicting data may reflect ethnic differences or other unidentified factors present in the various tomato products. Still, given the relative lack of reported toxicities of lycopene and in light of the studies affirming the association between lycopene and prostate cancer risk reduction, physicians may wish to consider providing a balanced discussion of the potential benefits of lycopene supplementation in patients interested in maximizing their prevention of prostate cancer.

Selenium

Selenium is an essential trace element found in the soil that enters the food chain through plants. Humans consume selenium in plant and animal products as well as supplements. Selenium plays a role in detoxification and antioxidant defense mechanisms. Selenium nail levels are considered a long-term measurement of body selenium levels. Several studies have attempted to determine whether nail selenium levels correlate with decreased risk of prostate cancer. Yoshizawa *et al.* examined over 50,000 men previously enrolled in the HPFS and found that higher prediagnostic selenium levels were associated with lower risk of advanced prostate cancer (OR for highest to lowest quintile: 0.49) [18]. Helzlsouer *et al.* investigated over 10,000 men from Maryland, 117 of whom developed prostate cancer and found that higher levels of selenium were associated with a lower risk for developing prostate cancer when the levels of two types of vitamin E, α - (OR 0.65) and γ -tocopherol (fivefold reduction) were also high [19].

These results have been challenged by several other nail studies. Specifically, a study by Lipsky *et al.* comparing the toenail selenium levels of 70 newly diagnosed prostate cancer patients with those of 80 controls [20], a case-control study by Ghadirian *et al.*, which interestingly found that nonsmoker case and control subjects had higher selenium toenail levels [21], and a case-control study by Allen *et al.* of over 600 British men [22].

Plasma serum selenium levels have also been explored. In a study by Li et al. of men enrolled in the Physician's Health Study, 586 men with prostate cancer were compared with 577 control patients over a 13-year follow-up period [23]. The investigators found that prediagnostic plasma serum selenium levels were inversely related with risk of advanced prostate cancer (fifth vs first quintile; OR: 0.52). Vogt et al. conducted a study exploring the differences in serum selenium between black and white males who participated in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination in order to determine whether such a difference may contribute to the racial disparity in prostate cancer incidence. The concentrations were 3% lower in blacks than whites (p < 0.0001) [24]. A nested case-control study within the PLCO Cancer Screening trial showed serum selenium was associated with prostate cancer risk only when subjects had high vitamin E intake (>28 international units [IU]/day; OR: 0.58), multivitamin use (OR: 0.61) or a smoking history (OR: 0.65) [25]. Nomura et al. conducted a nested case-control study in Japanese-American men and found an OR of 0.5 with a stronger association noted in cases of advanced disease, cases diagnosed 5-15 years after phlebotomy, and in current or past smokers rather than nonsmokers [26].

Not all of the serum selenium trials have shown an inverse relationship between selenium levels and prostate cancer risk, including a study by Hartman *et al.* involving a cohort of the Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study [27], and a trial by Goodman *et al.* involving participants of the Carotene and Retinol Efficacy Trial (CARET) [28].

The data on trials involving selenium supplementation are limited. In a nutritional cancer prevention trial by Clark *et al.*, 974 men who were randomly assigned to receive 200 μ g of selenium daily for 4.5 years or placebo were followed for a mean of 6.5 years [29]. The selenium-treated group had more than 50% fewer cases of prostate cancer (13 cases of prostate cancer vs 35 cases in the placebo group). Duffield-Lillico *et al.* performed a secondary analysis on the Nutritional Prevention of Cancer (NPC) Trial, a study originally designed to determine if selenium supplementation could reduce the risk of recurrent nonmelanoma skin cancer in US subjects and found that selenium supplementation significantly reduced the overall incidence of prostate cancer (RR: 0.51), although the effect was limited to those with lower baseline prostate-specific antigen and plasma selenium concentrations [30].

Selenium is an important mineral. Deficiency of selenium has been reported to cause cardiomyopathy and to be related to asthma, cancer, atherosclerosis and cataracts [31–35]. The conflicting data on the effect of selenium on prostate cancer risk may again reflect differences in study methodology, measurement techniques and ethnic populations. Fortunately, a large trial is being conducted that will elucidate the benefits of selenium. The Selenium and Vitamin E Cancer Prevention Trial (SELECT) as described by Lippman opened in July 2001 with an anticipated accrual of over 35,000 patients [36]. SELECT is a Phase III trial in which men are randomized to 200 μ g/day of selenium and/or 400 IU/day of vitamin E versus placebo for a minimum of 7 years. This trial will provide much anticipated information regarding the benefits of selenium supplementation.

Vitamin E

Vitamin E is the collective term for eight tocopherols and tocotrienols. While γ -tocopherol is the most prevalent form of vitamin E found in a typical diet, α -tocopherol is the most biologically available form as well as the form found in dietary supplements. Vitamin E may affect prostate cancer risk by altering sex hormone concentrations.

Several studies based on questionnaires supported a risk reduction of prostate cancer by increased vitamin E intake, including case-control studies by Deneo-Pellegrini *et al.* performed in Uruguay that involved over 400 subjects [37], and Tzonou *et al.* performed in Greece involving 566 patients [3]. Hartman *et al.* performed a cross-sectional analysis to assess the relationship between baseline levels of serum α -tocopherol and serum sex hormones in men [38]. Serum α -tocopherol levels were inversely associated with serum androstenedione testosterone, sex hormone-binding globulin and estrone.

Studies also support an association between vitamin E serum levels and prostate cancer incidence, including a study of 2974 Swedish men by Eichholze *et al.* who found that low vitamin E levels in smokers were related to an increased risk for prostate cancer and prostate-cancer mortality [39], and a nested case-control study by Goodman *et al.* [40].

Some of the difficulty in interpreting vitamin E trials results from the different forms of vitamin E that are being studied. In a well-known Finnish lung cancer prevention trial published by Heinonen *et al.* known as the Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene (ATBC) Study, over 29,000 male smokers were randomly assigned to 50 mg of α -tocopherol, 20 mg of β -carotene, both or placebo for 5–8 years [41]. There was a 32% decrease in the incidence of prostate cancer noted among men receiving vitamin E alone. In a recently released 19-year follow-up of the ATBC Study, Weinstein *et al.* found that higher serum α -tocopherol (RR: 0.80) was associated with reduced prostate cancer risk but not dietary vitamin E [42]. Several studies have examined γ -tocopherol. In an examination of two nested case-control studies (CLUE I and II) of more than 20,000 men in Maryland, Huang *et al.* noted that while serum α -tocopherol was weakly associated with prostate cancer, γ -tocopherol was strongly associated with a risk reduction for prostate cancer [43]. From the ATBC Study cohort, Weinstein *et al.* reported on 100 prostate cancer-case patients and 200 matched control subjects and found that subjects with higher concentrations of α - and γ -tocopherol had lower prostate cancer risk [44].

Several studies have failed to show that vitamin E reduced the risk of prostate cancer development. Nomura et al. performed a nested case-control study of 6860 Japanese-American men and measured serum vitamin E levels [16]. Interestingly, several vitamin E supplementation studies have likewise failed to show a significant risk reduction of prostate cancer, including a US study by Chan et al. that involved health professionals who consumed at least 100 IU/day of supplemental vitamin E [45], and the Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation - The Ongoing Outcomes trial (HOPE-TOO), which gave men with or at risk for cardiovascular disease 400 IU/day of supplemental vitamin E [46]. In the Cancer Prevention Study II Nutrition Cohort by Rodriguez et al., while the use of supplemental vitamin E was not associated with overall risk of prostate cancer or advanced prostate cancer, there was a suggestion of slightly reduced risk among smokers [47]. This observation was also noticed by Kirsch et al. in an analysis of the PLCO Cancer Screening Trial who likewise found a decreased risk of advanced prostate cancer among current and recent smokers who took higher doses of vitamin E (RR: 0.29 for >400 IU/day) [48].

Vitamin E toxicity may manifest as blurred vision, diarrhea, flu-like symptoms, dizziness, headache and nausea. The conflicting data on vitamin E and prostate cancer risk reduction may result from the varying forms of vitamin E being studied and confounding factors such as smoking. Selenium potentiates the antioxidant activity of vitamin E. The aforementioned SELECT trial, in which men are randomized to selenium and/or vitamin E versus placebo, will provide more information regarding the potential benefits of vitamin E supplementation.

Soy

Phytoestrogens (flavones, isoflavones and lignans) are naturally occurring plant compounds that have estrogen-like activity. Genistein and daidzein, the predominant isoflavones in human nutrition, are derived mainly from soybeans and other legumes.

Isoflavones have also been shown to influence the production, metabolism and excretion of testosterone and estrogens, hormones that can play important roles in the development and spread of prostate cancer [49]. Soy intake may be associated with endogenous hormone levels in Japanese men [50]. According to one meta-analysis published in 2005, soy food intake is associated with a lower risk of prostate cancer (OR: 0.70) [51]. In a study of 59 countries, soy products were found to be significantly protective (p = 0.0001) with an effect size per kilocalorie at least four times greater than that of any other dietary factor [52].

More soy products are consumed in Asian countries than in Western countries and this dietary difference may contribute to the differences observed in prostate cancer incidence. Multiple studies have been performed in China and Japan that support an association between soy intake and prostate cancer risk reduction. In a case-control study performed in China, 133 cases and 265 matched controls found a reduced risk of prostate cancer with consumption of soy foods (OR: 0.51) and isoflavones (genistein; OR: 0.53) [52]. Ozasa et al. performed a nested case-control study of Japanese men in which serum concentrations of phytoestrogens and sex hormones were measured [53]. Serum genistein, daidzein and equol were associated with prostate cancer risk reduction (OR: 0.38, 0.41 and 0.34, respectively). Kurahashi et al. recently conducted a population-based prospective study of 43,509 Japanese men, 307 of whom subsequently developed prostate cancer [54]. According to initial questionnaires, intake of genistein, daidzein, miso soup and soy food were not associated with total prostate cancer, although they were associated with decreased risk of localized prostate cancer. Moreover, isoflavones were associated with decreased risk of advanced prostate cancer, especially in men aged over 60 years (RR: 0.52). These results were supported by another Japanese case-control study by Nagata et al. involving 200 Japanese men and 200 matched controls that found isoflavones and their aglycones, genistein and daidzein, were significantly associated with decreased risk of prostate cancer (OR for \geq 89.9 mg/day: 0.42; p < 0.01) [55].

Heald *et al.* performed a population-based case-control study in Scottish men and found significant associations between serum concentrations of enterolactone (OR: .40) and soy foods (OR: 0.52) and prostate cancer risk, although no significant associations were found for isoflavone intake or serum genistein, daidzein and equol [56].

The majority of studies support a benefit of soy products; a few studies do not show a benefit, including a study of 5855 Japanese–American men who were followed for over 20 years [57].

No toxicities of soy isoflavones have been identified. Instead, soy isoflavones have been shown to have various other beneficial effects, including an ability to lower serum lipid levels, reduce the risk of coronary heart disease and strengthen bones in persons with osteoporosis [58–60]. The US FDA has authorized claims that 25 g/day of soy protein, in addition to a diet low in saturated fat and cholesterol, may reduce the risk of coronary heart disease. The data on soy and prostate cancer is mostly supportive of an association with risk reduction. Further studies into the specific components of soy isoflavones and phytoestrogens, such as genistein, are being pursued. Given the current lack of any identified toxicities, physicians may wish to provide a balanced discussion of the potential benefits of increased soy intake with their patients.

Vitamin D

Vitamin D is a fat-soluble vitamin. The two major forms of vitamin D are ergocalciferol (vitamin D2) and cholecalciferol (vitamin D3). The sun's ultraviolet rays convert 7-dehydroergosterol into vitamin D3 in the skin. Vitamin D3 is hydroxylated to 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in the liver and then undergoes hydroxylation to form 1,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol (calcitriol) in the kidney. Calcitriol is the most active form of vitamin D.

A couple of studies support that 25(OH)-vitamin D levels are associated with prostate cancer risk. In a nested case-control study by Ahonen of 19,000 men, 149 of whom developed prostate cancer, prostate cancer risk was inversely related to serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels [61]. In a longitudinal nested case-control study by Tuohimaa, the serum 25(OH)-vitamin D levels of 622 prostate cancer cases and 1451 matched controls found that low (<19 nmol/l) and high (>80 nmol/l) levels are associated with higher prostate cancer risk [62]. They conjectured that high vitamin D levels may lead to vitamin D resistance through increased inactivation by enhanced expression of 24-hydroxylase and thus recommended that vitamin D deficiency be supplemented but not to extreme vitamin D serum levels.

Several studies suggest that vitamin D does not decrease prostate cancer risk. Kristal et al. measured energy, fat, vitamin D and calcium intake via questionnaires that were obtained over a 3-5-year period and were not able to find an association between vitamin D and a lower prostate cancer risk [63]. Other studies fail to show a link between serum vitamin D levels and prostate cancer risk. Corder performed a study involving stored sera and measured 25-D levels in 90 black and 91 white men who were subsequently diagnosed with prostate cancer [64]. While the mean serum 1,25-D was 1.81 pg/ml lower in prostate cancer cases than in matched controls (p = 0.002), the risk of prostate cancer was not significantly different in prostate cancer cases and controls. Other studies included a nested case-control study by Gann et al. of the Physician's Health Study [65], a nested case-control study by Nomura et al. of Japanese–American men from Hawaii [66], a study by Platz et al. of men from the HPFS [67], and a recent small study from the National Prevention of Cancer Trial [68].

Vitamin D deficiency can lead to bone and tooth disorders, rickets and osteomalacia. Vitamin D toxicity can present with dehydration, nausea, vomiting, anorexia, headache, weakness, constipation, hypercalcemia, kidney stones and arterial calcium deposits. It is generally recommended to avoid doses greater than 1000 IU daily. The conflicting data regarding vitamin D and prostate cancer risk may be related to the form of vitamin D that is being studied and to calcium intake, which in multiple studies has been shown to increase a man's risk of prostate cancer. Physicians may wish to provide a balanced perspective of vitamin D to their patients.

Diet and prostate cancer risk reduction

Green tea

Green tea has been used as a beverage and medicine for hundreds of years. Green tea protects cells and tissues from oxidative damage. Its antioxidant activity comes from polyphenols (catechin). One component of green tea that has gained interest in the media and public is epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG).

Several studies have shown that green tea consumption is associated with a decreased risk of prostate cancer. In a casecontrol study performed in Canada, 617 cases of prostate cancer and 637 matched controls were interviewed for beverage intake history [69]. A decrease in risk was observed with tea intake exceeding 500 g/day (OR: 0.70). In another study performed in China of 130 cases of prostate cancer and 274 controls, tea drinking was strongly associated with decreased risk of prostate cancer (OR: 0.28) [70]. In a recent double-blind, placebo-controlled study by Bettuzzi *et al.* involving men with high-grade prostate intraepithelial neoplasia and thus at high risk for developing prostate cancer, 60 volunteers were randomized to green tea catechin (GTC) pills or placebo [71]. After 1 year, only one tumor was diagnosed in the GTC-arm while nine cancers were diagnosed in the placebo arm.

Other studies have shown that tea consumption is not associated with a decreased risk of prostate cancer, including a Canadian retrospective cohort study [72], and a study of 362 prostate cancer cases and 685 matched controls performed in Utah [73].

While considered relatively nontoxic, green tea may affect platelet aggregation. The caffeine in green tea may cause gastric irritability, insomnia and tachycardia. Green tea has other beneficial effects. It may provide protection from cardiovascular disease by reducing LDL cholesterol and triglyceride levels while raising HDL cholesterol, and inhibiting thromboxane formation thereby blocking platelet aggregation. Green tea may also enhance immunity. Based on the aforementioned studies that show a positive correlation between green tea and prostate cancer risk reduction, physicians may wish to initiate a conversation with patients who do not have bleeding or hemostasis disorders regarding the potential benefits of green tea or products containing catechins such as EGCG.

Conclusion

Chemoprevention is the use of products to delay, reverse or prevent the development and/or progression of a malignancy. Prostate cancer represents an ideal malignancy for the use of chemoprevention owing to its high prevalence and relatively slow rate of progression. An ideal chemopreventative agent would be nontoxic, affordable and effective.

There are data to support the consideration of several natural products for the risk reduction of prostate cancer. Lycopene, a carotenoid found in tomatoes, is a product with relatively few reported side effects that physicians may wish to discuss with their patients. Selenium, an essential trace mineral, and vitamin E may work in conjunction to lower a person's risk for prostate cancer. Soy isoflavones such as genistein and EGCG found in green tea may account for the lower rate of prostate cancer in Asian countries. Vitamin D may also be considered as a potential chemopreventative agent.

Some of the data are conflicting. In general, this may be due to the manner in which some of the studies were conducted. Some studies involved dietary questionnaires that have obvious limitations in reliability and are subject to recall bias. Other studies involved serum and nail measurements, which may not accurately reflect a patient's chronic levels of a certain dietary product. Some of the discrepancies noted may reflect the confounding effects of other dietary products or differences in the ethnic populations being studied.

Further investigations into the potential mechanisms of action of these products are warranted. These agents may work to decrease prostate cancer risk by altering hormonal levels or by reducing inflammation, both of which have been identified as playing key roles in the development and promotion of prostate cancer. The next goal beyond simply identifying natural dietary products that reduce prostate cancer risk is to identify biologically plausible mechanisms that account for risk reduction. We anticipate the continued pursuit of highquality prospective trials with tissue studies or molecular correlates so that future physicians can recommend preventative measures with more confidence.

Expert commentary

The data regarding natural products and their relationships to prostate cancer risk reduction are widely heterogeneous. Future studies should preferably seek to be prospective, randomized and to encompass a greater sample size and patient population.

Five-year view

We predict the discovery of other natural dietary products that affect the risk of prostate cancer development. We predict, via molecular and tissue studies, a greater understanding of how the aforementioned natural products work in delaying and abrogating prostate cancer development. With this understanding, new and more effective dietary compound supplements will be developed that may then be tested in prospective, placebo-controlled, double-blinded trials.

Financial & competing interests disclosure

The authors have no relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. This includes employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending, or royalties.

No writing assistance was utilized in the production of this manuscript.

Key issues

- Prostate cancer is the most commonly diagnosed malignancy in males and an ideal target for preventative measures.
- Lycopene is a carotenoid found in tomatoes that has been associated with prostate cancer risk reduction.
- Selenium, an essential trace mineral, and vitamin E may, jointly or separately, be able to reduce prostate cancer risk.
- Genistein and other isoflavones in soy, and epigallocatechin gallate in green tea may account for the lower incidence of prostate cancer in Asian countries.
- Vitamin D has the potential to reduce prostate cancer risk.
- The future lies in designing randomized controlled studies that not only identify natural products which may reduce prostate cancer risk but elucidate the molecular and biological mechanisms responsible for risk reduction.

References

Papers of special note have been highlighted as: • of interest

- •• of considerable interest
- Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, Murray T, Xu J, Thun MJ. Cancer Statistics, 2007. *Cancer J. Clin.* 57(1), 43–66 (2007).
- 2 Mills PK, Beeson WL, Phillips RL, Fraser GE. Cohort study of diet, lifestyle, and prostate cancer in Adventist men. *Cancer* 64(1), 598–604 (1989).
- 3 Tzonou A, Signorello LB, Lagiou P, Wuu J, Trichopoulos D, Trichopoulou A. Diet and cancer of the prostate: a case-control study in Greece. *Int. J. Cancer* 80, 704–708 (1999).
- 4 Norrish AE, Jackson RT, Sharpe SJ, Skeaff CM. Prostate cancer and dietary carotenoids. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 151, 119–123 (2000).
- 5 Giovannucci E, Rimm EB, Liu Y, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. A prospective study of tomato products, lycopene, and prostate cancer risk. *J. Natl Cancer Inst.* 94, 391–398 (2002).
- Large, questionnaire-based study that demonstrated an association between increased consumption of tomato products and reduced risk of prostate cancer.
- 6 Gann PH, Ma J, Giovannucci E *et al.* Lower prostate cancer risk in men with elevated plasma lycopene levels: results of a prospective analysis. *Cancer Res.* 59, 1225–1230 (1999).
- Large, nested case-control study in which significantly lower plasma levels of lycopene were found in patients who developed prostate cancer.
- 7 Lu QY, Hung JC, Heber D et al. Inverse associations between plasma lycopene and other carotenoids and prostate cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 10, 749–756 (2001).

- 8 Vogt TM, Mayne ST, Graubard BI *et al.* Serum lycopene, other serum carotenoids, and risk of prostate cancer in US blacks and whites. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 155(11), 1023–1032 (2002).
- 9 Wu K, Erdman JW Jr, Schwartz SJ et al. Plasma and dietary carotenoids, and the risk of prostate cancer: a nested casecontrol. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers* 13, 260–269 (2004).
- 10 Key TJ, Silcocks PB, Davey GK, Appleby PN, Bishop DT. A case-control study of diet and prostate cancer. Br. J. Cancer 76, 678–687 (1997).
- 11 Le Marchand L, Hankin JH, Kolonel LN, Wilkens LR. Vegetable and fruit consumption in relation to prostate cancer risk in Hawaii: a reevaluation of the effect of dietary β-carotene. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 133, 215–219 (1991).
- 12 Hayes RB, Ziegler RG, Gridley G *et al.* Dietary factors and risks for prostate cancer among blacks and whites in the United States. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 8, 25–34 (1999).
- 13 Kolonel LN, Hankin JH, Whittemore AS et al. Vegetables, fruits, legumes and prostate cancer: a multiethnic case-control study. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 9, 795–804 (2000).
- 14 Schuurman AG, Goldbohm RA, Dorant E, van den Brandt PA. Vegetable and fruit consumption and prostate cancer risk: a cohort study in the Netherlands. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 7, 673–680 (1998).
- 15 Hsing AW, Comstock GW, Abbey H, Polk BF. Serologic precursors of cancer. Retinol, carotenoids, and tocopherol and risk of prostate cancer. *J. Natl Cancer Inst.* 82, 941–946 (1990).
- 16 Nomura AM, Stemmermann GN, Lee J, Craft NE. Serum micronutrients and prostate cancer in Japanese–Americans in Hawaii. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 6, 487–491 (1997).

- 17 Peters U, Leitzmann MF, Chatterjee N et al. Serum lycopene, other carotenoids, and prostate cancer risk: a nested casecontrol study in the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 16(5), 962–968 (2007).
- 18 Yoshizawa K, Willett WC, Morris SJ et al. Study of prediagnostic selenium level in toenails and the risk of advanced prostate cancer. J. Natl Cancer Inst. 90, 1219–1224 (1998).
- Helzlsouer KJ, Huang HY, Alberg AJ et al. Association between α-tocopherol, γtocopherol, selenium, and subsequent prostate cancer. J. Natl Cancer Inst. 92, 2018–2023 (2000).
- 20 Lipsky K, Ziguener MZ, Schips L, Plummer K, Rehak P, Humber G. Selenium levels of patients with newly diagnosed prostate cancer compared with control group. *Urology* 63, 912–916 (2004).
- 21 Ghadirian P, Maisonneuve P, Perret C, Kennedy G, Boyle P, Krewsi D. A case-control study of toenail selenium and cancer of the breast, colon and prostate. *Cancer Detect. Prevent.* 24, 305–313 (2000).
- 22 Allen NE, Morris JS, Ngwenyama RA *et al.* A case-control study of selenium in nails and prostate cancer risk in British men. *Br. J. Cancer* 90, 1392–1396 (2004).
- 23 Li H, Stampfer MJ, Giovannucci EL et al. A prospective study of plasma selenium levels and prostate cancer risk. J. Natl Cancer Inst. 96, 696–703 (2004).
- Nested case-control study which demonstrated that prediagnostic selenium levels were associated with a reduced risk of advanced prostate cancer.
- 24 Vogt TM, Ziegler RG, Patterson BH, Graubard BI. Racial differences in serum selenium concentration: analysis of US population data from the third national health and nutrition examination survey. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 166(3), 280–288 (2007).

Diet and prostate cancer risk reduction Review

- 25 Peters U, Foster CB, Chatterjee N et al. Serum selenium and risk of prostate cancer – a nested case-control study. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 85(1), 209–217 (2007).
- 26 Nomura AM, Lee J, Stemmermann GN et al. Serum selenium and subsequent risk of prostate cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 9, 883–887 (2000).
- 27 Hartman T, Albanes D, Pietinen P et al. The association between baseline vitamin E, selenium, and prostate cancer in the Alpha-Tocoperol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 7, 335–340 (1998).
- 28 Goodman GE, Schaffer S, Bankson DD, Hughes MP, Omenn GS. Carotene and retinol efficacy trial co-investigators. Predictors of serum selenium in cigarette smokers and the lack of association with lung and prostate cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 10(10), 1069–1076 (2001).
- 29 Clark LC, Dalkin B, Krongrad A *et al.* Decreased incidence of prostate cancer with selenium supplementation: results of a double-blind cancer prevention trial. *Br. J. Urol.* 81(5), 730–734 (1998).
- 30 Duffield-Lillico AJ, Dalkin BL, Reid ME et al. Nutritional Prevention of Cancer Study group. Selenium supplementation, baseline plasma selenium status and incidence of prostate cancer: an analysis of the complete treatment period of the Nutritional Prevention of Cancer Trial. BJU Int. 91(7), 608–612 (2003).
- 31 Clark LC, Combs GF Jr, Turnbull BW et al. Effects of selenium supplementation for cancer prevention in patients with carcinoma of the skin. A randomized controlled trial. Nutritional Prevention of Cancer Study Group. JAMA 276, 1957 (1996).
- 32 Huttunen JK. Selenium and cardiovascular diseases – an update. *Biomed. Environ. Sci.* 10, 220 (1997).
- 33 Kadrabova J, Mad'aric A, Kovacikova Z et al. Selenium status is decreased in patients with intrinsic asthma. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 52, 241 (1996).
- 34 Karaküçük S, Ertugrul Mirza G, Faruk Ekinciler O *et al.* Selenium concentrations in serum, lens and aqueous humour of patients with senile cataract. *Acta Ophthalmol. Scand.* 73, 329–332 (1995).
- 35 Yegin A, Yegin H, Aliciguzel Y *et al.* Erythrocyte selenium-glutathione peroxidase activity is lower in patients with coronary atherosclerosis. *Jpn Heart J.* 38, 793–798 (1997).

- 36 Lippman SM, Goodman PJ, Klein EA *et al.* Designing the Selenium and Vitamin E Cancer Prevention Trial (SELECT). *J. Natl Cancer Inst.* 97, 94–102 (2005).
- Discusses the highly anticipated SELECT, a Phase III randomized, placebocontrolled trial of selenium and/or vitamin E supplementation.
- 37 Deneo-Pellegrini H, De Stefani E, Ronco A *et al.* Foods, nutrients and prostate cancer: a case-control study in Uruguay.
 Br. J. Cancer 80, 591–597 (1999).
- 38 Hartman TJ, Dorgan JF, Virtamo J, Tangrea JA, Taylor PR, Albanes D. Association between serum α-tocopherol and serum androgens and estrogens in older men. *Nutr. Cancer* 35(1), 10–15 (1999).
- 39 Eichholzer M, Stahelin HB, Gey KF et al. Prediction of male cancer mortality by plasma levels of interacting vitamins: 17-year follow-up of the prospective Basel study. Int. J. Cancer 66, 145–150 (1996).
- 40 Goodman GE, Schaffer S, Omenn GS et al. The association between lung and prostate cancer risk, and serum micronutrients: results and lessons learned from β-carotene and retinol efficacy trial. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 12, 518–526 (2003).
- 41 Heinonen OP, Albanes D, Virtamo J et al. Prostate cancer and supplementation with αtocopherol and β-carotene: incidence and mortality in a controlled trial. J. Natl Cancer Inst. 90, 440–446 (1998).
- This trial involved the Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study, a well-known study in which long-term α-tocopherol supplementation reduced prostate cancer incidence and mortality in smokers.
- 42 Weinstein SJ, Wright ME, Lawson KA *et al.* Serum and dietary vitamin E in relation to prostate cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 16(6), 1253–1259 (2007).
- 43 Huang HY, Alberg AJ, Norkus EP et al. Prospective study of antioxidant micronutrients in the blood and the risk of developing prostate cancer. Am. J. Epidemiol. 157, 335–344 (2003).
- 44 Weinstein SJ, Wright ME, Pietinen P *et al.* Serum α-tocopherol and γ-tocopherol in relation to prostate cancer risk in a prospective study. *J. Natl Cancer Inst.* 97(5), 396–399 (2005).

- 45 Chan JM, Stampfer MJ, Ma J et al. Supplemental vitamin E intake and prostate cancer risk in a large cohort of men in the United States. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 8, 893–899 (1999).
- 46 Lonn E, Bosch J, Yusuf S *et al.* Effects of long-term vitamin E supplementation on cardiovascular events and cancer: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 293, 1338–1347 (2005).
- 47 Rodriguez C, Jacobs EJ, Mondul AM *et al.* Vitamin E supplements and risk of prostate cancer in U.S. men. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 13, 378–382 (2004).
- Kirsh VA, Hayes RB, Mayne ST *et al.* Supplemental and dietary vitamin E,
 β-carotene, and vitamin C intakes and
 prostate cancer risk. *J. Natl Cancer Inst.* 98, 245–254 (2006).
- Holzbeierlein JM, McIntosh J, Thrasher JB. The role of soy phytoestrogens in prostate cancer. *Curr. Opin. Urol.* 15(1), 17–22 (2005).
- 50 Nagata C, Inaba S, Kawakami N, Kakizoe T, Shimizu H. Inverse association of soy product intake with serum androgen and estrogen concentrations in Japanese men. *Nutr. Cancer* 36(1), 14–18 (2000).
- 51 Yan L, Spitznagel EL. Meta-analysis of soy food and risk of prostate cancer in men. *Int. J. Cancer* 117(4), 667–669 (2005).
- 52 Lee MM, Gomez SL, Chang JS, Wey M, Wang RT, Hsing AW. Soy and isoflavone consumption in relation to prostate cancer risk in China. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 12(7), 665–668 (2003).
- 53 Ozasa K, Nakao M, Watanabe Y *et al.* JACC Study Group. Serum phytoestrogens and prostate cancer risk in a nested case-control study among Japanese men. *Cancer Sci.* 95(1), 65–71 (2004).
- 54 Kurahashi N, Iwasaki M, Sasazuki S, Otani T, Inoue M, Tsugane S. Japan Public Health Center-Based Prospective Study Group. Soy product and isoflavone consumption in relation to prostate cancer in Japanese men. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 16(3), 538–545 (2007).
- 55 Nagata Y, Sonoda T, Mori M *et al.* Dietary isoflavones may protect against prostate cancer in Japanese men. *J. Nutr.* 137(8), 1974–1979 (2007).
- 56 Heald CL, Ritchie MR, Bolton-Smith C, Morton MS, Alexander FE. Phyto-oestrogens and risk of prostate cancer in Scottish men. *Br. J. Nutr.* 98(2), 388–396 (2007).

Review Cheung, Wadhera, Dorff and Pinski

- 57 Nomura AM, Hankin JH, Lee J, Stemmermann GN. Cohort study of tofu intake and prostate cancer: no apparent association. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 13(12), 2277–2279 (2004).
- 58 Alekel DL, St Germain A, Peterson CT et al. Isoflavone-rich soy protein isolate attenuates bone loss in the lumbar spine of perimenopausal women. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 72, 844–852 (2000).
- 59 Chester EA. Soy for cardiovascular indications. *Am. J. Health Syst. Pharm.* 58(8), 663–666 (2001).
- 60 Teede HJ, Dalais FS, Kotsopoulos D et al. Dietary soy has both beneficial and potentially adverse cardiovascular effects: a placebo-controlled study in men and post-menopausal women. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 86, 3053 (2001).
- 61 Ahonen MH, Tenkanen L, Teppo L *et al.* Prostate cancer risk and prediagnostic serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels (Finland). *Cancer Causes Control.* 11, 847 (2000).
- 62 Tuohimaa P, Tenkanen L, Ahonen M et al. Both high and low levels of blood vitamin D are associated with a higher prostate cancer risk: a longitudinal, nested case-control study in the Nordic countries. *Int. J. Cancer* 108(1), 104–108 (2004).
- 63 Kristal AR, Cohen JH, Qu P et al. Associations of energy, fat, calcium, and vitamin D with prostate cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 11, 719–725 (2002).
- 64 Corder EH, Guess HA, Hulka BS *et al.* Vitamin D and prostate cancer: a prediagnostic study with stored sera. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 2, 467 (1993).

- 65 Gann PH, Ma J, Hennekens CH, Hollis BW, Haddad JG, Stampfer MJ. Circulating vitamin D metabolites in relation to subsequent development of prostate cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 5(2), 121–126 (1996).
- 66 Nomura AM, Stemmermann GN, Lee J et al. Serum vitamin D metabolite levels and the subsequent development of prostate cancer (Hawaii, United States). Cancer Causes Control 9(4), 425–432 (1998).
- 67 Platz EA, Michael F, Leitzmann MF et al. Plasma 1,25-dihydroxy- and 25-hydroxyvitamin D and subsequent risk of prostate cancer. *Cancer Causes Control* 15, 255–265 (2004).
- 68 Jacobs ET, Giuliano AR, Martínez ME, Hollis BW, Reid ME, Marshall JR. Plasma levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D, 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D and the risk of prostate cancer. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 89–90(1–5), 533–537 (2004).
- 69 Jain MG, Hislop GT, Howe GR, Burch JD, Ghadirian P. Alcohol and other beverage use and prostate cancer risk among Canadian men. *Int. J. Cancer* 78(6), 707–711 (1998).
- 70 Jian L, Xie LP, Lee AH, Binns CW. Protective effect of green tea against prostate cancer: a case-control study in southeast China. *Int. J. Cancer* 108(1), 130–135 (2004).
- 71 Bettuzzi S, Brausi M, Rizzi F, Castagnetti G, Peracchia G, Corti A. Chemoprevention of human prostate cancer by oral administration of green tea catechins in volunteers with high-grade prostate intraepithelial neoplasia: a preliminary report from a one-year proof-of-principle study. *Cancer Res.* 66(2), 1234–1240 (2006).

- Preliminary findings of a promising report on the beneficial effects of green tea on patients at increased risk for prostate cancer development.
- 72 Ellison LF. Tea and other beverage consumption and prostate cancer risk: a Canadian retrospective cohort study. *Eur. J. Cancer Prev.* 9(2), 125–130 (2000).
- 73 Slattery ML, West DW. Smoking, alcohol, coffee, tea, caffeine, and theobromine: risk of prostate cancer in Utah (United States). *Cancer Causes Control.* 4(6), 559–563 (1993).

Affiliations

- Eric Cheung, DO Norris Cancer Hospital, 1441 Eastlake Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90033, USA Tel.: +1 323 865 3900 Fax: +1 323 865 0061
- Panikar Wadhera Norris Cancer Hospital, 1441 Eastlake Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90033, USA Tel.: +1 323 865 3900 Fax: +1 323 865 0061
- Tanya Dorff, MD Norris Cancer Hospital, 1441 Eastlake Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90033, USA Tel.: +1 323 865 3900 Fax: +1 323 865 0061
- Jacek Pinski, MD Norris Cancer Hospital, Rm 3453, 1441 Eastlake Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90033, USA Tel.: +1 323 865 3900 Fax: +1 323 865 0061 pinski_j@ccnt.usc.edu